

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/938,966	_	08/24/2001	Bryan E. Aupperle	RSW920010130US1	2143
25259	7590	12/23/2005		EXAM	INER
IBM CO	RPORATIO	ON	STEVENS, THOMAS H		
	NWALLIS 1 / B503, PC	RD. D BOX 12195		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		IGLE PARK, NC 2	2123	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application Number	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination  AUPPERLE ET AL.	
	09/938,966		
		Art Unit	
	Leo P. Picard	2125	
Document Code - AP.PRE	E.DEC		

## Notice of Panel Decision from Pre-Appeal Brief Review

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request f	for Review filed	_•
<ol> <li>Improper Request – The Request is improreason(s):</li> </ol>	oper and a conferenc	e will not be held for the following
<ul> <li>☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed of the request does not include reasons who implies the proposed amendment is included with implies the control of the control of</li></ul>	hy a review is approp	riate.
The time period for filing a response continues to the mail date of the last Office communication, if		
2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and held. The application remains under appeal because is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance brief will be reset to be one month from mailing the running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, was appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based of the notice of appeal, as applicable.	ause there is at least on ce with 37 CFR 41.37 his decision, or the bat whichever is greater.	one actual issue for appeal. Applicant
The panel has determined the status of Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	, ,	ows:
3. Allowable application – A conference has Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the mea applicant at this time.		
4. <b>☐ Reopen Prosecution</b> – A conference has laction will be mailed. No further action is require		time.
All participants:		J. I may
(1) <u>Leo P. Picard</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Stevens</u> .	(4)	LEO PICARD SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100